UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,970	03/11/2004	Are Lund	2004_0400	4028
	7590	EXAMINER		
2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021			BHAT, NINA NMN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/07/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/796,970	LUND ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
	Air Oille		

	N. Bhat	1797	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>2</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origin than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	lianaa with 27 CED 44 27 must ba f	ilad within two manths	of the date of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Called
(a) They raise new issues that would require further col	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		imely filed amendmen	it canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.		be entered and an ex	planation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	, , , , , ,	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/N. Bhat/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1797	
	i illiary Examiner, Art O	int 17 <i>31</i>	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has argued that the claims 1 and 4-7 can not be rejected under 102(b) as being anticipated by Gudmundsson because Gudmundsson does not teach each and every element of the invention namely the direction of flow through the separators and the the pump. Applicant is reminded that the claims are drafted with open language and the elements of the claims have been pointed out to applicant. The claims are drawn to an apparatus, the rejection sets forth each anyd every element. Applicant's sequence or flow through the elements is not precluded by Gudmundsson if applicant wants a specific sequence of elements the claims must be drafted having an narrower scope and using specific lanuagge indicating the sequence or using consisting of language or consisting essentially of language. Applicant's arguments are not persuasive for reasons delineated here and in the Final Rejection of December 28, 2007...